UNULASSIFIED

SYSTEM II 90726

## THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

## LEWGEASSIFIED

September 15, 1982

National Security Pecision Directive Number 56

## PRIVATE INF EXCHANGE

With respect to the private INF exchange which took place at the close of the last session, I have decided the following:

- The U.S. should continue to press for the zero/zero approach.
- No actions should be taken to close the private channel. If the Soviets respond in that channel, the following points should be made:
  - -- The U.S. believes the zero/zero proposal is the best approach to reduce the risk of nuclear conflict and to ensure effective verification.
  - -- The U.S. cannot accept a position in which the Soviets retain short time-of-flight SS-20 ballistic missiles while the U.S. foregoes Pershing II ballistic missiles (and retains only the slower, air-breathing GLCMs\*).
  - -- If the Soviets continue to be admantly opposed to zero/zero, we should place the responsibility on them to propose alternatives for equitably reducing the total missile force structures.

In preparing for the possibility of a Soviet response to the exchange, an NSPG working group should prepare, on a close-hold basis, talking points which outline the specific military and other reasons why the U.S. cannot accept it.

Declassified/Released on under provisions of E.O. 12356 by S. Taley, National Security Council

\* and shorter range ballistic systems

and shorter range ballistic system

UNGLASSIFIED

Declassify on: OADR

UNCLASSIFIED SECRET